Microbe-to-plant signals as a way to develop climate change resilient agriculture

Dr. Donald L. Smith Plant Science Department McGill University



Evolution – Individual and Community

- It is now clear that pretty much all eukaryotes, certainly all multicellular eukaryotes, have associated communities of microbes
 - for plants the phytomicrobiome
- The microbial community and the host organism interact in many ways
- It is this community (the holobiont) that evolution acts on



Benefits to each other

Microbes

- Niche space
- Supply of reduced C
- Perhaps specifics to allow growth
- Plants
 - Disease control
 - Nutrient supply
 - Stress mitigation

-							-				- Sec. 1	•	•		-	1.462	: ;	 -	Ξ.					-						-	• •	-	1	• =	-			
														TE					-					-	5.0	-					-							
							C							-										-	Y						2							
								15.5						1.	E										.0													
														5											Y													
														1					1																			
																															5							
															1						-					-												
															1																1.							
																															4							
															1																							
																				2																		
																									5													
.1	A.	1	10	0-	./T.	DI	56		20	00		T. (-		10	6	3	Т	5	n	10	3.8			ri.	1.7	.1	2	0.0		T	1.1	7	11	2	13	a.	
	2		40	0g	H	PJ	20	10	10	υų					10		TV.	L	C	9	10	J~	TAT.	- · ·	In	17	1	9	T	VI.	1	n.		11	1	-1	V1	

Functions – plant perspective

Nutrient supply

- N₂ fixation
- Siderophores iron
- Solubilize and mobilize (mycorrhizae) P and Zn

Water (root growth), stomatal aperture

Pathogen resistance

- Antagonist to pathogen
- Induced resistance in plants

Production of plant hormones

Stress tolerance – microbe-to-plant signals

Germination – eg. orchids



Biomass Productivity

- **Biomass crops** on marginal agricultural lands (>class 3)
 - Little competition with food crops
 - Plants more stressed, plus climate change
- Perennial crops stand can be harvested for 15 30 yrs.
- Fuel plus increase carbon/organic matter content of soil
- About 25 Mt biomass
- Food crop residues, take about 1/3 yr⁻¹
- About 48 Mt biomass
- PhytomicrobiomePGPR can help deal with stress and increase yield
- Climate change resilience, increase food *and* fuel



The Problem/Opportunity

- There is a large area of potential land for biomass production in Canada
- Purpose grown biomass would be produced in a more stressful environment (marginal lands)



The Supply Problem



- Uncertainties about biomass feedstock supply (drought, economics, etc.) can be a serious bioeconomy bottleneck
- In 2013-14 four large biomass plants opened in US
 - Three went broke, in large part over problems with biomass supply

INEOS Bio - New Planet Energy -- Indian River BioEnergy Center

- Vero Beach, Florida
- Opened July 2013
- Capacity: 32 M L yr⁻¹ and 6 megawatts (gross) of renewable power

POET–DSM -- Project Liberty

- Emmetsburg, Iowa
 Opened Sept 2014
 Capacity: 100 M L yr⁻¹

DuPont -- Nevada Site Cellulosic Ethanol Facility

- Nevada, Iowa
- Operational Date: Q4 2014
 Capacity: 120 M L yr⁻¹

Abengoa -- Bioenergy Hugoton Cellulosic Ethanol Facility

- Location: Hugoton, Kansas Operational Date: Q2 2014
- Capacity: 100 M L yr⁻¹ plus 21 megawatts of renewable electricity





ative technology solutions for sustainability



The miracles of science[™]

Phytomicrobiome Signals and Stress

- Phytomicrobiome members can affect plant through signals
- Often help with stress response regulation

• Effective at very low concentration

- Hormones of the holobiont
- Makes inexpensive, low environmental impact

Produce growth stimulating microbes in liquid medium, remove cells and test now cell free medium for growth stimulation
Seeds on petri plates with signals
Control and 150 mM NaCl
Signals improved growth under stress



Example - Corn field trials

- Corn and potato were grown in 2018, 2019 and 2020
- Microbial consortium (a group of 5 *Bacillus* strains), at various concentrations (1x recommended and 2x) and two seeding dates (recommended and late), three soil types (clay, clay-loam, sany-loam)
- Key findings for corn
 - Biomass production average increases
 - on clay soil **16.1%**
 - on clay loam soil 17.4%
 - on sandy loam soil 11.2%
 - Both grain yield and starch content were significantly increased by microbial inoculation in corn



Example - Potato field trials

- Consortium added at seeding
- Nutritional quality assessed (starch, protein, ascorbic acid and phenolics) in tubers
- Greatest increase in biomass was for treatments to which the consortium was applied at seeding
- Inoculation increased in-season biomass up to 27.9%, tuber yield by 20%



Biomass crop field trials

- Trials planted up to 5 years ago
 - Switchgrass
 - Miscanthus
- Each grass treated with the phytomicrobiome based technologies
 - Consortium microbes
 - Single strains of microbes
 - Signal molecules
- Yield increases up to about **20%**





Trials with Micro-to-Plant Signals

- LCOs, thuricin 17 and Biosignall
- Corn, soybean, potato, tomato, canola, etc.
- Seed or foliar application
- Yield and biomass increases depending on stress levels
- Often 10 to 20% increases in productivity
- LCO technology already going on 10s of millions of ha of agricultural land per year







Example of Potential GHG reductions

- Food crop residue biomass production of 4 T ha⁻¹ aboveground non-grain biomass (corn is approx 10 T ha⁻¹, other crops less) plus 1.6 T ha⁻¹ root biomass (TOTAL 5.6 T ha⁻¹ biomass)
- 2. A new phytomicroiome signal technology applied to existing crop area (40 M ha)
- 3. 15% increase in biomass associated with application of new technology
- 4. New technology results in this increase 50% of the time
- The biomass retained in the soil over the long term (after initial decomposition) is 10% of the total increase PER YEAR
- 5.6 T ha⁻¹ x 40 M ha x 0.15 x 0.5 x 0.1 = 1.68 M T CO_2
- Converting to CO₂ equivalents (correction of added oxygen) = 1.68 x 1.7 = 2.9 M T CO₂ equivalents PER YEAR
- If converted to biofuels instead of added to soils the value of reduced emissions is similar

Relevance to Bioeconomy

 There is large potential impact of PGPR and their signal compounds, and the overall potential of the phytomicrobiome for increasing available biomass for the bioeconomy



 This could help remove the greatest bottleneck in the bioeconomy and help make the bioeconomy more climate change resilient





- Phytomicrobiome members (PGPR) show promise in managing greenhouse gases
- New strains and products from them are being be isolated, and show promise
- Evaluation of other microbial plant-biostimulants is ongoing and there will be many new ones
- This approach shows the potential to enhance the production of biomass including in the presence of climate change related stresses that could negatively affect crop growth
- GHG levels reduced (CO₂ removal from atmosphere or reduced emissions) and enhanced crop resilience to climate change related stresses.



The End!

Questions?

